

## TELANAGANA STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills, Hyderabad-500 004

O. P. No. 2 of 2015

Dated 28.10.2017

## Present

Sri. Ismail Ali Khan, Chairman Sri. H. Srinivasulu, Member

Between:

M/s. ITC Limited,
Paperboards & Specialty Papers Division,
ITC Bhadrachalam House,
106, Sardar Patel Road,
Secunderabad – 500 003.

... Petitioner

And

Andhra Pradesh State Load Despatch Centre (APSLDC), Room No. 611, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor, A Block, APTRANSCO, Vidyut Soudha, Khairtabad, Hyderabad – 500 082.

... Respondent.

This petition came up for hearing on 23.10.2017. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner on 23.10.2017. Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondent along with Ms. Pravalika, Advocate is present. The petition having stood for consideration to this day, the Commission passed the following:

## ORDER

The petitioner had originally filed the petition before the erstwhile Andhra Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission under section 86 (1) (e) of the Electricity Act, 2003 in O. P. No. 43 of 2014. The said petition has been transferred to this Commission upon its establishment and renumbered as above. The prayer in the original petition is as follows:

"(i) To direct the respondent to accreditate the petitioner's black liquor based generation project for participating under the REC framework.

- (ii) Approve the methodology for determining net power generation from the steam produced by the black liquor fired recovery boiler out of total generation of petitioner's captive generating plants.
- (iii) Direct the respondent to measure the generation of electricity from the petitioner's black liquor based generation project in accordance with the methodology approved by the Commission.
- 2. There is no representation for the petitioner earlier on 05.06.2017 and on 23.10.2017 also despite service of notice of hearing. Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondent alongwith Ms. Pravalika, Advocate is present.
- 3. As there is no representation for the petitioner on two occasions, the Commission is of the view that the petitioner is not inclined to prosecute the petition before the Commission. Accordingly, the same is dismissed for default in appearance and non-prosecution. There will be no order as to costs.

This order is corrected and signed on this the 28th day of October, 2017.

Sd/-(H. SRINIVASULU) MEMBER

Sd/-(ISMAIL ALI KHAN) CHAIRMAN

//CERTIFIED COPY//